

1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X_t] &= \cos(\omega t) \cdot E[A] + \sin(\omega t) \cdot E[B] = 0 \text{ independent of } t \\
 V(X_t) &= \cos^2(\omega t) V(A) + \sin^2(\omega t) V(B) = 1 \text{ independent of } t \\
 \left. \begin{aligned}
 E[X_t] &= 0 \\
 V(X_t) &= 1
 \end{aligned} \right\} E[X_t^2] = 1 < \infty \\
 Cov(X_{t+h}, X_t) &= Cov(A \cos(\omega(t+h)) + B \sin(\omega(t+h)), A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t)) \\
 &= \cos(\omega(t+h)) \cdot \cos(\omega t) + \sin(\omega(t+h)) \sin(\omega t) \\
 &= \cos(\omega h), \quad h \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ [because } \cos(a-b) = \cos(a)\cos(b) + \sin(a)\sin(b)\text{]} \\
 \implies \gamma_x(t+h, t) &= \cos(\omega h), \text{ independent of } t \\
 &\implies \{X_t\} \text{ stationary with ACVF given by } K(h) = \cos(\omega h) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Theorem}}{\implies} K(h) \text{ nonnegative definite, even}
 \end{aligned}$$

2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 Cov(X_t, X_t) &= V(X_t) = V(Z_t) + 0.3^2 V(Z_{t-1}) + 0.4^2 V(Z_{t-2}) = 1.25 \\
 Cov(X_{t+1}, X_t) &= Cov(Z_{t+1} + 0.3Z_t - 0.4Z_{t-1}, Z_t + 0.3Z_{t-1} - 0.4Z_{t-2}) = 0.3 - 0.4 \cdot 0.3 = 0.18 \\
 Cov(X_{t+2}, X_t) &= \dots = -0.4 \\
 \implies \gamma_x(t+h, t) &\begin{cases} 1.25, & h = 0 \\ 0.18, & h = \pm 1 \\ -0.4, & h = \pm 2 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

3)

Let us assume that a stationary solution exists
 We already proved that this solution equals $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j}$
 Now let us consider the difference:
 $X_t - \phi_1^{n+1} X_{t-(n+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^n \phi_1^j Z_{t-j}$, since
 $X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + Z_t = \phi_1 (\phi_1 X_{t-2} + Z_{t-1}) + Z_t = \dots = \phi_1^{n+1} X_{t-(n+1)} + \sum_{j=0}^n \phi_1^j Z_{t-j}$
 The variance of $X_t - \phi_1^{n+1} X_{t-(n+1)}$ is given by
 $V(X_t - \phi_1^{n+1} X_{t-(n+1)}) = V\left(\sum_{j=0}^n \phi_1^j Z_{t-j}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^n \phi_1^{2j} V(Z_{t-j}) \underset{|\phi_1|=1}{=} \sum_{j=0}^n \sigma^2 \underset{\uparrow}{=} n \sigma^2 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$
 $\implies V(X_t) = \infty$ conflict: (to stationarity of $\{X_t\}$ thus cannot be stationary)

4)

Homework

- 5) Let us analyse the autoregressive polynomial $\phi(z) = 1 - 0.75z + 0.125z^2 = (1 - 0.5z)(1 - 0.25z)$
 \rightarrow roots of the characteristic equation: $z_1 = 2$ and $z_2 = 4 \rightarrow$ outside the unit circle
 \implies process is stationary and causal
 Let us analyse the moving - average polynomial: $\theta(z) = 1 + 1.25z$
 \rightarrow root of the characteristic equation: $z_1 = -0.8 \rightarrow$ inside the unit circle
 \implies process is not invertible.

6) a) Roots of the AR-polynomial: $\phi(z) = 1 + 0.2z - 0.48z^2 = (1 + 0.8z)(1 - 0.6z) : z_1 = \frac{10}{6} ; z_2 = -\frac{10}{8}$

→ roots outside the unit circle \implies process is stationary and causal

Roots of the MA-polynomial: $\theta(z) = 1$, no root \implies process is invertible

\leadsto causal representation (MA(∞) representation):

$$(1 + 0.2z - 0.48z^2)(\psi_0 + \psi_1z + \psi_2z^2 + \dots) = 1$$

$$\implies \psi_0 = 1 ; \psi_1 = -0.2 ; \psi_2 = (-0.2) \times (-0.2) + 0.48 = 0.0192 ;$$

$$\psi_3 = (-0.2) \times 0.0192 + 0.48 \times (-0.2) = -0.09984$$

$$\psi_4 = (-0.2) \times (-0.09984) + 0.48 \times 0.0192 = 0.0112128$$

$$\psi_5 = (-0.2) \times 0.0112128 + 0.48 \times (-0.09984) \doteq -0.007$$

b) Roots of the AR-polynomial: $\phi(z) = 1 + 1.9z + 0.88z^2 = (1 - 1.1z)(1 - 0.8z) : z_1 = \frac{10}{8} ; z_2 = \frac{10}{11}$

→ z_2 lies inside the unit circle \implies process is not causal

Roots of the MA-polynomial: $\theta(z) = 1 - 0.4z + 0.04z^2 = (1 - 0.2z)^2 : z_{1,2} = 5$

→ roots lie outside the unit circle \implies process is invertible

c) Roots of the AR-polynomial: $\phi(z) = 1 + 0.6z : z_1 = -\frac{10}{6} \rightarrow$ outside the unit circle

\implies process is stationary and causal

Roots of the MA-polynomial: $\theta(z) = 1 + 1.2z : z_1 = -\frac{10}{12} \rightarrow$ inside the unit circle

\implies process is not invertible

\leadsto causal representation:

$$\psi_0 = 1 ; \psi_1 = (-0.6) + 1.2 = 0.6 ; \psi_2 = (-0.6) \times 0.6 = -0.36 ;$$

$$\psi_3 = (-0.6) \times (-0.36) = 0.216 ; \psi_4 = (-0.6) \times 0.216 = -0.1296 ; \psi_5 = (-0.6) \times (-0.1296) = 0.07776$$

d) Roots of the AR-polynomial: $\phi(z) = 1 + 1.8z + 0.81z^2 = (1 + 0.9z)^2 : z_{1,2} = -\frac{10}{9}$

→ roots outside the unit circle \implies process is stationary and causal

Roots of the MA-polynomial: $\theta(z) = 1$: no root \implies process is invertible

\leadsto causal representation:

$$\psi_0 = 1$$

$$\psi_1 = -1.8$$

$$\psi_2 = (-1.8) \times (-1.8) - 0.81 \times 1 = 2.43$$

$$\psi_3 = (-1.8) \times 2.43 - 0.81 \times (-1.8) = -2.916$$

$$\psi_4 = (-1.8) \times (-2.916) - 0.81 \times 2.43 = 3.2805$$

$$\psi_5 = (-1.8) \times 3.2805 - 0.81 \times (-2.916) = -3.54294$$

7) Homework

8) Let us start by computing the ACVF of the original MA(2) process:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X_t] &= \mu \\
 V(X_t) &= \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{49}{4} + 4\right) = \sigma^2 \frac{69}{4} = \gamma(0) \rightsquigarrow \rho(0) = 1 \\
 Cov(X_t, X_{t-1}) &= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{7}{2} + \frac{7}{2} \cdot (-2)\right) = -\frac{7}{2}\sigma^2 = \gamma(1) \rightsquigarrow \rho(1) = \frac{\gamma(1)}{\gamma(0)} = -\frac{14}{69} \\
 Cov(X_t, X_{t-2}) &= \sigma^2(-2) = \gamma(2) \rightsquigarrow \rho(2) = \frac{\gamma(2)}{\gamma(0)} = -\frac{8}{69} \\
 &\rightarrow \gamma(s) = 0, \quad s > 2
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us verify whether this process is invertible: $\theta(z) = 1 + \frac{7}{2}z - 2z^2 = (1 - \frac{1}{2}z) \cdot (1 + 4z)$

$$\implies z_1 = 2, \quad z_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 \text{ lies inside the unit circle} \implies \text{process is not invertible}$$

Let us define: $\theta^*(L) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}L) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{4}L) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}L - \frac{1}{8}L^2$ and

$$X_t^* = \mu + z_t - \frac{1}{4}z_{t-1} - \frac{1}{8}z_{t-2}, \quad \{z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^{*2})$$

Let us compute the ACVF for the new process (which is now invertible):

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(X_t^*) &= \sigma^{*2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{64}\right) = \sigma^{*2} \cdot \frac{69}{64} = \gamma(0)^* \rightsquigarrow \rho^*(0) = 1 = \rho(0) \\
 Cov(X_t^*, X_{t-1}^*) &= \sigma^{*2} \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{32}\right) = -\frac{7}{32}\sigma^{*2} = \gamma(1)^* \rightsquigarrow \rho^*(1) = -\frac{14}{69} = \rho(1) \\
 Cov(X_t^*, X_{t-2}^*) &= \sigma^{*2} \left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) = \gamma(2)^* \rightsquigarrow \rho^*(2) = -\frac{8}{69} = \rho(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we want also that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(0)^* : \sigma^{*2} \frac{69}{64} = \sigma^2 \frac{69}{4} \iff \sigma^{*2} = 16\sigma^2$
(42)

\rightarrow Note that with such a choice of $\sigma^{*2} : \gamma(h)^* = \gamma(h) \quad \forall h$

9) Let us compute the ACVF of the process:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(X_t) &= \sigma^2 (1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) = \gamma(0) \rightsquigarrow \rho(0) = 1 \\
 Cov(X_t, X_{t-1}) &= \sigma^2 (\theta_1 + \theta_1\theta_2) = \gamma(1) \rightsquigarrow \rho(1) = \frac{\gamma(1)}{\gamma(0)} = \frac{\theta_1(1+\theta_2)}{1+\theta_1^2+\theta_2^2} \\
 Cov(X_t, X_{t-2}) &= \sigma^2 (\theta_2) = \gamma(2) \rightsquigarrow \rho(2) = \frac{\gamma(2)}{\gamma(0)} = \frac{\theta_2}{1+\theta_1^2+\theta_2^2} \\
 &\rightsquigarrow \gamma(s) = 0, \quad s > 2 \qquad \rightsquigarrow \rho(s) = 0, \quad s > 2
 \end{aligned}$$

In the particular case with: $\theta_1 = -\frac{17}{10}, \quad \theta_2 = -2$:

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} 7.89\sigma^2, & h = 0 \\ 1.7\sigma^2, & h = \pm 1 \\ -2\sigma^2, & h = \pm 2 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(h) = \begin{cases} 1, & h = 0 \\ \frac{170}{789}, & h = \pm 1 \\ -\frac{200}{789}, & h = \pm 2 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Find the roots of the MA-polynomial: $\theta(z) = 1 - \frac{17}{10}z - 2z^2$

$$= (1 - 2.5z) \cdot (1 + 0.8z) : z_1 = -\frac{10}{8} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = \frac{2}{5}$$

$\rightsquigarrow z_2$ inside the unit circle \implies process not invertible

10) a) For the stationary of the MA(∞) process $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j Z_{t-j}, \quad \{Z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$

it is enough to show: $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\theta_j|^2 < \infty$

in this case: $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j^2 = 1 + b^2 + b^2\rho^2 + b^2\rho^4 + \dots = 1 + b^2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\rho^2)^j \right) \stackrel{|\rho| < 1}{\iff} 1 + b^2 \cdot \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} < \infty$

Moreover we have: $E[X_t] = 0, \quad V(X_t) = \sigma^2 \left(1 + b^2 \cdot \frac{1}{1-\rho^2}\right)$

$$E[X_t X_{t-s}] = \sigma^2 \cdot b\rho^{s-1} (1 + b\rho + b\rho^2 + \dots) = \gamma(s), \quad \text{depends only on } s$$

b) Note: $X_t = Z_t + b(Z_{t-1} + \rho Z_{t-2} + \rho^2 Z_{t-3} + \dots) = Z_t + \frac{b}{1-\rho L} Z_{t-1}$

$$\iff (1 - \rho L) X_t = (1 - \rho L) Z_t + b Z_{t-1} = (1 - \rho L) Z_t + b L Z_t = (1 + (b - \rho) L) Z_t$$

The process is AR(1): $\implies b = \rho$

When $b \neq \rho$: the process is an ARMA(1,1) with coefficients $\phi_1 = \rho, \quad \theta_1 = b - \rho$

11) Homework

12) Recall that for an MA(1) model:

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2(1 + \theta^2) & , h = 0 \\ \sigma^2\theta & , h = \pm 1 \\ 0 & , \text{else} \end{cases}$$

From the definition: $\Gamma_2 \cdot \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2(1 + \theta^2) & \sigma^2\theta \\ \sigma^2\theta & \sigma^2(1 + \theta^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{21} \\ \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2\theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \theta^2 & \theta \\ \theta & 1 + \theta^2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{\Gamma}_2} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{21} \\ \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now: $\det \tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \theta^2 & \theta \\ \theta & 1 + \theta^2 \end{bmatrix} = (1 + \theta^2)^2 - \theta^2 = 1 + \theta^2 + \theta^4$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det \tilde{\Gamma}_2} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} (1 + \theta^2) & -\theta \\ -\theta & (1 + \theta^2) \end{bmatrix}}_{=\text{adj}(\tilde{\Gamma}_2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{22} = \frac{1}{\det \tilde{\Gamma}_2} \begin{bmatrix} -\theta & (1 + \theta^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-\theta^2}{1 + \theta^2 + \theta^4}.$$